



DISINGENUOUSNESS.

The "Spirit of Jefferson" of last week proceeds to examine and comment upon an editorial in our paper of the 3d.

The article in the "Spirit" is disingenuous in its character, and will only excite a smile from those who understand the whole matter, or else draw upon its author deserved and merited rebuke.

The "Spirit" quotes the amendment offered by Mr. Farran of Ohio, and proceeds at great length to inquire whether in all that there is anything "infamous."

Mr. Farran offered an amendment to the original resolutions as offered by a friend of Gen. Taylor, Mr. Cooke, and immediately thereafter, Mr. Thompson of Mississippi, who has slandered that grey-headed veteran before, offered a proviso to the resolution in these words:—

"Provided, That nothing herein contained shall be construed into an approbation of the terms of the capitulation of Monterey."

This proviso was immediately accepted by Mr. Farran, and tacked to his amendment—but the "Spirit" has failed, very strangely—yet very significantly for its own poor, and lame attempt at making the "wrong appear the right."

Mr. SEVER moved to strike out the proviso, or make a single allusion to it. It was this which was the "infamous" "wrong appear the right,"—either to give this proviso, or make a single allusion to it. It was this which was the "infamous" "wrong appear the right,"—either to give this proviso, or make a single allusion to it.

Mr. SEVER moved to strike out the proviso, or make a single allusion to it. It was this which was the "infamous" "wrong appear the right,"—either to give this proviso, or make a single allusion to it.

THE SENATE AND GEN. TAYLOR.

The people may well "look to the Senate" for magnanimous and patriotic action. Although there may be designing men in their number—men who have no scruples in following party right or wrong—yet, as a whole, it is a great conservative power, of which the country may well be proud.

We regret that our space will not permit us to make copious extracts from the debate on the subject. In the Senate, on the 3d, the House resolution was taken up, and having been read, as follows:—

Resolved, That the President be requested to cause to be struck a gold medal, with devices emblematic of this splendid achievement, and presented to General Taylor, as a testimony of the high sense entertained by Congress for his patriotic and distinguished conduct on that memorable occasion.

Resolved, That the President be requested to cause to be struck a gold medal, with devices emblematic of this splendid achievement, and presented to General Taylor, as a testimony of the high sense entertained by Congress for his patriotic and distinguished conduct on that memorable occasion.

Resolved, That the President be requested to cause to be struck a gold medal, with devices emblematic of this splendid achievement, and presented to General Taylor, as a testimony of the high sense entertained by Congress for his patriotic and distinguished conduct on that memorable occasion.

Resolved, That the President be requested to cause to be struck a gold medal, with devices emblematic of this splendid achievement, and presented to General Taylor, as a testimony of the high sense entertained by Congress for his patriotic and distinguished conduct on that memorable occasion.

Resolved, That the President be requested to cause to be struck a gold medal, with devices emblematic of this splendid achievement, and presented to General Taylor, as a testimony of the high sense entertained by Congress for his patriotic and distinguished conduct on that memorable occasion.

CONGRESSIONAL PROCEEDINGS.

It will be seen in another column, that the Senate on Wednesday, did the right thing with the resolutions of thanks to Gen. Taylor. They struck out all reference to the terms of the capitulation of Monterey, and then by a unanimous vote passed thanks.

Mr. Scott, of Pennsylvania, followed Mr. Edgington, in favor of the bill, and made one of those close, comprehensive, and clear arguments, for which he is distinguished. He closed on Thursday.

On Friday, Mr. Stoeber occupied the floor, in opposition, and also made an able argument, which Mr. Edgington subsequently declared to be inaudible.

Incidental discussion occurred between Messrs. Hunter, Edgington, Duncan, Stephens, Ler, Haymond, and others. Mr. Hunter submitted, with a view to have it printed, his "Compromise" proposition as the terms of the proposed Road.

On Saturday, Mr. Lee replied to some of the arguments on the other side—after which the previous question was demanded, and sustained. The motion pending was that of Mr. Edgington, to postpone the bill indefinitely.

Mr. Hunter desired, on account of a number of absences, to have the question set for Monday, but this was refused—and the bill having been rung, the roll was called, and the bill was postponed indefinitely—yeas 66, nays 56. Absent 12.

It is supposed a reconsideration of the question will be moved by Mr. Hunter, with a view to enable him to present his bill for a different terminus of the Road, (Fishing Creek.) But I consider the vote too decided to be reversed at the present session.

RICHMOND CORRESPONDENCE.

Richmond, Feb. 6, 1847. The principal topics of the week, in the House of Delegates, was the Potomac and the Ohio Rail Road bill, or more familiarly, the "Right of Way."

Mr. Edgington, who commenced his remarks on Saturday afternoon, concluded on Wednesday, occupying the floor from 1 until 3 o'clock. His argument was an able and energetic one, and gave him a new claim upon his constituents, whose interests he has defended with undaunted zeal and industry for many years.

Mr. Scott, of Pennsylvania, followed Mr. Edgington, in favor of the bill, and made one of those close, comprehensive, and clear arguments, for which he is distinguished. He closed on Thursday.

On Friday, Mr. Stoeber occupied the floor, in opposition, and also made an able argument, which Mr. Edgington subsequently declared to be inaudible.

Incidental discussion occurred between Messrs. Hunter, Edgington, Duncan, Stephens, Ler, Haymond, and others. Mr. Hunter submitted, with a view to have it printed, his "Compromise" proposition as the terms of the proposed Road.

On Saturday, Mr. Lee replied to some of the arguments on the other side—after which the previous question was demanded, and sustained. The motion pending was that of Mr. Edgington, to postpone the bill indefinitely.

Mr. Hunter desired, on account of a number of absences, to have the question set for Monday, but this was refused—and the bill having been rung, the roll was called, and the bill was postponed indefinitely—yeas 66, nays 56. Absent 12.

THE "RIGHT OF WAY."

The Legislature of Virginia, on Saturday, agreed to the indefinite postponement of the "Right of Way" Bill. This was a result different from what we expected and hoped.

Mr. Edgington, who commenced his remarks on Saturday afternoon, concluded on Wednesday, occupying the floor from 1 until 3 o'clock. His argument was an able and energetic one, and gave him a new claim upon his constituents, whose interests he has defended with undaunted zeal and industry for many years.

Mr. Scott, of Pennsylvania, followed Mr. Edgington, in favor of the bill, and made one of those close, comprehensive, and clear arguments, for which he is distinguished. He closed on Thursday.

On Friday, Mr. Stoeber occupied the floor, in opposition, and also made an able argument, which Mr. Edgington subsequently declared to be inaudible.

Incidental discussion occurred between Messrs. Hunter, Edgington, Duncan, Stephens, Ler, Haymond, and others. Mr. Hunter submitted, with a view to have it printed, his "Compromise" proposition as the terms of the proposed Road.

On Saturday, Mr. Lee replied to some of the arguments on the other side—after which the previous question was demanded, and sustained. The motion pending was that of Mr. Edgington, to postpone the bill indefinitely.

Mr. Hunter desired, on account of a number of absences, to have the question set for Monday, but this was refused—and the bill having been rung, the roll was called, and the bill was postponed indefinitely—yeas 66, nays 56. Absent 12.

DIFFICULTY OF TRANSPORTATION.

There is a general complaint among those who have produce to send to Baltimore, on account of the failure of the part of the Baltimore & Ohio Rail Road to take it on from Harpers Ferry.

Mr. Edgington, who commenced his remarks on Saturday afternoon, concluded on Wednesday, occupying the floor from 1 until 3 o'clock. His argument was an able and energetic one, and gave him a new claim upon his constituents, whose interests he has defended with undaunted zeal and industry for many years.

Mr. Scott, of Pennsylvania, followed Mr. Edgington, in favor of the bill, and made one of those close, comprehensive, and clear arguments, for which he is distinguished. He closed on Thursday.

On Friday, Mr. Stoeber occupied the floor, in opposition, and also made an able argument, which Mr. Edgington subsequently declared to be inaudible.

Incidental discussion occurred between Messrs. Hunter, Edgington, Duncan, Stephens, Ler, Haymond, and others. Mr. Hunter submitted, with a view to have it printed, his "Compromise" proposition as the terms of the proposed Road.

On Saturday, Mr. Lee replied to some of the arguments on the other side—after which the previous question was demanded, and sustained. The motion pending was that of Mr. Edgington, to postpone the bill indefinitely.

Mr. Hunter desired, on account of a number of absences, to have the question set for Monday, but this was refused—and the bill having been rung, the roll was called, and the bill was postponed indefinitely—yeas 66, nays 56. Absent 12.

COMMEMORATORY SUPPER.

In pursuance of previous arrangements, between thirty and forty gentlemen assembled at the Globe Hotel, and at about half past eight o'clock sat down to a supper prepared in the best style of that well known establishment.

Col. CHARLES HARPER presided on the occasion, assisted by Dr. RICHARD PARRAN, and ANTHONY STROTHER, Esq. After the cloth was removed, the following toast was proposed by the President, which he accompanied with appropriate remarks.

"The Health of Col. J. F. Hamtramck: May he and those under his command be guided, directed and protected, by that Great Captain who governs all things, and may he and they be allowed to return in safety to his and their families and friends."

This toast brought the Guest of the evening to his feet, who replied eloquently and with deep feeling. The whole evening exhibited a continued scene of festive enjoyment, and the company separated at a late hour, each gratified that it had been his privilege to be present on this occasion.

Col. J. F. Hamtramck—Dear Sir: Your fellow-citizens of Shepherdstown and its vicinity, being desirous, before your departure for Mexico, to give you the assurance of their personal friendship and respect, in the same time, to testify to you, their perfect confidence in your ability and determination to discharge in a manner creditable to the Commonwealth, as well as to yourself, the responsible and arduous duties of the honorable post, to which you have been appointed, beg, through the undersigned, acting in their behalf, to tender to you a Supper, which they propose having prepared at the Globe Hotel, to-morrow evening, and which they hope it will suit you to signify your concurrence to accept.

Respectfully, Charles Harper, Wm. Shortt, Richard Parran, J. T. Little, J. H. McEndree, W. L. Webb, J. M. Jewett, A. Strother, V. M. Butler, Committee.

Shepherdstown, Jan. 29th, 1847. Gentlemen—I am on a flying visit to my family, and with the wish to devote every moment of that period to the arrangement of my private affairs, and to secure the same time, to the army in Mexico, any time therefore directed from that purpose, conflicts with the object of my visit; yet I cannot deny myself the pleasure of meeting my friends and neighbors around the social board, and making them my best before I march. It is with feelings therefore of an ordinary character that I accept your kind and flattering invitation to the Supper on to-morrow evening.



